Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

IP Indian Journal of Library Science and Information Technology

Journal homepage: https://www.ijlsit.org/

Review Article

A review on integration of artificial intelligence in academic publishing: Current state, prospects, and implications

Shivam Dubey[1]*

[1]Rani Durgavati Vishwavidyalaya Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is changing the academic publishing scene from article preparation to peer-review and post-publication interaction. The current uses, new developments, and difficulties of AI in academic publications are examined in this article. It critically assesses how AI affects author-reader relationships, peer review procedures, and research quality. Humans must carefully handle these anxieties because of artificial intelligence's incorporation into our daily lives. Although it imitates human awareness, artificial intelligence lacks it. Our goal is to create artificial intelligence that works with people, not instead of them. Enhancing human intellect and fostering social and scientific advancements are the objectives. The paper emphasizes the necessity of ethical frameworks, openness, and cooperation to direct the appropriate integration of AI technology through a synthesis of contemporary practices and forward-looking analysis.

Keywords: Machine learning, Ethical framework, Research impact, Academic publishing, Artificial intelligence.

Received: 18-05-2025; **Accepted:** 06-06-2025; **Available Online**: 17-07-2025

1. Introduction

In domains that were previously thought to be exclusively human, recent developments in artificial intelligence (AI) have far outperformed human capabilities. In complicated thinking games like chess and Go, artificial intelligence (AI) has surpassed humans, and some AIs are even competent for highly professional occupations.[1-5] AI applications are now used in art and creativity in addition to deep learning and surface-level evaluations. Although advancements in science should help people in their daily lives, worries concerning AI's ease of use still exist. Writing scientific papers, which call for originality, inventiveness, logical reasoning, and ethical concerns, is one of the main issues. Through natural language processing of machine-learned information, large language models (LLMs) may produce documents in response to user inputs, making them available to all authors. However, because a research group with minimal prior publishing history may suddenly submit several publications in a short period, accessibility also raises issues about potential abuse. A fresh set of modern worries about the use of AI has emerged due to experiences shared by several scientific publications.

AI is driving a revolution in academic publication, a vital component of scholarly communication. The use of large language models (LLMs), machine learning (ML), and natural language processing (NLP) techniques is growing across the research publishing lifecycle as they become more widely available. There are several uses for artificial intelligence (AI) in many facets of our everyday lives, such as liability, civil, commercial, criminal, health, and education law. The capacity of computers to comprehend and produce human language is known as natural language processing, or NLP, and it is one area of artificial intelligence that has attracted a lot of interest. The present situation, future directions, and ramifications of incorporating AI into academic publication are all examined in this article. Text produced by AI can raise the caliber of influential research publications. To draw significant theoretical and practical

*Corresponding author: Shivam Dubey
Email: shivamdubey20@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijlsit.2025.006

implications and establish a revolution in scientific research in the age of artificial intelligence, decision makers and researchers should eventually concentrate more on the methodology portion of the study, which includes research design, the development of research tools, and in-depth data analysis. This study's practical implications may be applied to a variety of disciplines, including medical education, where it can be utilized to strengthen the fundamental skills of faculty members and medical students.

Generative AI can produce thorough papers with all the necessary citations, as well as research concepts and hypotheses. But for scientific publishing, this poses serious difficulties. Generative AI has the potential to generate "hallucinations," or false or erroneous descriptions, that might evade publishing and deceive readers. It gets harder to tell the difference between fiction and truth, as well as between AI and human writing, as AI gets better at mimicking human language. This creates concerns that even language that has flaws at first could end up being identical to the original. Furthermore, it is unclear if rigorous ethical criteria should be applied to AI technologies. For instance, a researcher may generate a draft publication and fabricate portions of the material by uploading some of their study data to an AI-powered organization. This would result in deceitful fabrication without conducting substantial research. Research paper graphs and photos might likewise be made or altered using fake technology. These problems make editing more difficult since, as technology develops, it may become harder to recognize AI-generated material with certainty. Transparency in the study process and adherence to ethical standards are becoming increasingly important. Most editorial groups are reluctant to set clear rules, preferring to express personal opinions or look for agreement.

2. Discussion

Over the past 60 years, significant and quick progress has been achieved in the development of artificial intelligence (AI) in general and AI technologies in particular. At first, the academic press regarded these instruments with distrust since they were disruptive in character. However, they soon gained widespread acceptance and transformed society. Grammar, spelling, and reference checkers that use artificial intelligence have been around for a while. Many people in the publishing sector still remember its launch in the late 1970s. Although they are basic tools, they are crucial to all publishers of scientific material. Like a lot of traditional tools, these instruments made repeated activities less tiresome. Crucially, they do not require creativity or creative ideas. Artificial intelligence fundamentally altered our way of thinking from the start. Its incorporation into various facets of life generated both excitement and anxiety. With the introduction of supercomputers and tools that began to resemble human intellect, worries and alarms were raised.[6] The popular press, entertainment, and social media all fed our imaginations with surreal stories of sentient robots taking over and manipulating human behavior. Fear was the outcome of all of this. At its most fundamental, fear is a primitive feeling that powers our survival strategies. Fear protects a person from possible danger by elevating their level of consciousness. As artificial intelligence advances and computational power surpasses human capabilities, the amygdala is triggered to warn the mind and body of possible danger due to concerns about the loss of human values, control, privacy, and place primacy.[7]

There are approximately 100 billion neurons and glial cells in the human brain, making it a complicated condensed matter system. It is composed of 76-78% water, 10-12% lipids, 8% protein, 2% organic compounds that are soluble, and 1% inorganic salts and carbohydrates. It has been difficult to comprehend brain dynamics and states using traditional molecular models. However, certain brain functions might be described by quantum mechanics. The three basic quantum models of the brain are the dissipative quantum model (DQMB), coordinated objective reduction theory (OrchOR), and the electromagnetic field (EMF) approach. Quantum theory in medicine has the potential to spark new ideas and ways to therapy.[8-9] Artificial intelligence (AI) refers to a computer or robot's ability to do cognitive functions associated with the human mind, such as perception, reasoning, learning, and problem solving.[10] Machine learning is a form of artificial intelligence that uses data-driven algorithms to find trends and anticipate events. tremendous capacity computers can manage the tremendous amount of data that is available, but it is too large for human scrutiny. Deep learning is a type of machine learning that use artificial neural networks to interpret large volumes of text and visual data. Like the human brain, these networks are designed to learn from massive amounts of data.[11]

Conversely, chatbots are online interfaces or software programs that use voice or text interactions to simulate human communication. They are used in several industries, such as entertainment, healthcare, e-commerce, and education. An artificial intelligence tool called ChatGPT can produce discourse and respond to inquiries. Generative pretrained transformers, or GPTs, process and analyse vast volumes of data using machine learning techniques to produce answers to user inquiries.[12-13] Nevertheless, the result of generative AI systems is a patchwork of previously published works found in big databases. The publication Nature forbade the designation of AI tools as "authors" on a research paper due to the argument that these tools are incapable of taking accountability and responsibility for the work.[14]

Present-Day AI Use in Academic Publishing

1. Manuscript Preparation: AI programs like Writefull, Grammarly, and ChatGPT help with reference formatting, abstract creation, grammatical correction, and paraphrasing. These resources improve coherence and clarity and are especially helpful for non-native English speakers.

2. Plagiarism Detection and Material Screening: Turnitin and iThenticate are two examples of tools that use artificial intelligence (AI) to identify plagiarism and evaluate the originality of material. In a similar vein, AI algorithms are employed to enhance study integrity by detecting data falsification and picture modification.

3. Peer Review Support: AI is being utilized more and more to help peer reviewers by using co-authorship and citation information, AI suggests suitable reviewers.

4. Pre-screening submissions for compliance, quality, and breadth; identifying any ethical or conflicting interests. Metadata and Indexing - AI-driven platforms automate metadata generation, keyword tagging, and classification to improve discoverability in databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science.

5. Translation and Accessibility - AI tools enable real-time translation and voice-to-text transcription, broadening access to scientific literature for global and differently-abled audiences.

3. Benefits of AI Integration

The integration of artificial intelligence with publication measures has several advantages that might lead to improved efficiency through quicker editorial workflows and shorter time to publication. It will also aid in preserving uniformity, guaranteeing consistent use of journal layout and requirements. Furthermore, the incorporation of AI will contribute to the article's inclusiveness, which will benefit academics from underrepresented or non-English-speaking countries even more. Additionally, by analysing altimetric and citation networks, this integration can provide data-driven decision-making that informs funding and editorial choices.

4. Risks and Difficulties

The application of artificial intelligence in the academic publishing sector has many advantages, but it also has several concerns. First and foremost, the use of AI-generated material raises ethical questions since authorship is unclear. Although disclosure of AI usage is currently required by many publications, enforcement varies. Additionally, as an over-reliance on AI techniques can undermine uniqueness and introduce minor flaws, quality control may be another problem. Biases in training data may be propagated by AI systems. Peer review automation hazards might be additional difficulties. While AI can help with peer review, complete automation runs the danger of eradicating discipline complexity, context sensitivity, and human judgment. Additionally, since AI tools—particularly those that operate on third-party platforms—may hold or handle private, unpublished research data, issues with data security and privacy may also surface.

AI technologies have attracted a lot of interest from researchers since, even for experienced writers, performing scientific research and preparing data for publication are time-consuming and labour-intensive processes. Weidman[15] provided a list of practical AI-based technologies in 2024 that are helpful at different phases of the research process. These include research question design tools (Elicit AI), scientific database identification tools (Search Smart), literature review and analysis tools (Litmaps, Consensus, Connected Paper, ResearchRabbit, Scite, OpenRead), data interpretation and synthesis tools (ChatGPT4, ResearchGPT, Lateral), academic paper and scientific article writing and structuring tools for publication or funding (Jenni.ai, QuillBot), English translation tools (Google Translator, ChatGPT), and grammar checkers (Grammarly).

Due to its ability to speed up writing processes like data analysis and statistics, artificial intelligence (AI) technologies have grown in popularity in scientific research. Particularly for authors who are not native English speakers, they can improve language correctness, offer contextual information, and reveal data patterns. Nonetheless, some tasks—like thinking, using information to solve complicated issues, exhibiting creativity, and creating novel theories—still call for human assistance.[16] The quality and scope of the sources that drive these tools have a significant impact on the value of AI-generated outputs. These sources are frequently opaque and of variable quality, both within and between tools. Because AI creates work based on pre-existing sources that may not be explicitly cited,[17] authorship and plagiarism have become contentious issues, raising questions about who should be credited for AI-generated content—the creator, typer, programmer, or AI owner. Another issue with AI-generated material is how human authors utilize and interpret it. Concerns regarding objectivity, bias, and fairness are raised by users' ignorance of how these models arrive at their conclusions. This can degrade academic work and oversimplify complex arguments, which eventually results in a loss of creativity and original critical thinking.[18]

Scientific publication is one of the many facets of life and business that artificial intelligence (AI) is starting to impact. Deep learning platforms, such as ChatGPT, have gained popularity to make AI usage easier, however they have drawbacks when creating material that seems human, which might result in inaccurate assessments. From submission to peer review and publication, AI has enormous promise in the scientific publishing process. Particularly when AI solutions are based on reliable sources and thorough quality checks, it speeds up knowledge discovery and promotes the expansion of academic and scientific research. AI provides effective content aggregation, dataset analysis, keyword searching, data management, and advanced database search features. Generative AI can swiftly create

graphics and provide succinct summaries of scholarly publications that extract essential information for readers. But in scientific publishing, identifying AI-generated content is still quite difficult, especially for publishers that want to maintain the integrity of the study. In general, using AI techniques to prepare peer-reviewed papers is prohibited.[19]

Although existing AI methods are not entirely dependable in differentiating between legal and predatory journals, AI has the potential to help researchers identify and steer clear of predatory publications.[20] When choosing a publication for their work, authors need to be proactive and make well-informed choices. It can be challenging to identify AI-generated manuscripts, and while there exist methods to identify AI-generated material, their accuracy is still not always reliable. By making interactive, modular publishing with data visualizations, dynamic figures, and voice-assisted summaries possible, it can facilitate agentic publications. The goal of initiatives like "Agentic Publications" is to transform static publications into dynamic information bases. Like software repositories like GitHub, AI may also support continuous peer criticism, post-publication revisions, and version control. Additionally, AI may be used by future platforms to create customized research agendas or curricula, as well as to provide reading recommendations and personalized summaries.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, Academic journals have already shown a great deal of interest in the discussion around the incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into scientific writing. AI presents several issues even if it may surely expedite the development and editing of manuscripts. The use of AI tools by academics and other scientists working in the life sciences is rapidly expanding, despite the relatively new intersection of AI with the publishing industry. It is increasingly crucial to recognize our direction and boundaries in this maelstrom, even from an ethical standpoint. Context awareness is a feature of contemporary conversational AIs that allows them to comprehend and retain any dialogue outside of prewritten scripts. The ability to learn and adapt as they interact with an increasing amount of human language input is even more remarkable.

According to the article's conclusion, existing AI language models have the ability to produce entirely fake scientific publications. Upon closer examination, seasoned readers may spot semantic faults and inconsistencies despite the documents' elegant appearance and seeming perfection. We draw attention to the necessity of heightened awareness and improved detection techniques in order to counteract the possible abuse of AI in scientific research. However, it is also critical to acknowledge the potential advantages of applying AI language models to real scientific writing and research, including language editing and article preparation.

This paper makes the case for the creation of authoring rules and a policy for open AI disclosure. For effectiveness and quality, mix human and AI-assisted peer review as well. Additionally, academic publishing may benefit greatly from giving editors and academics the tools they need to utilize AI techniques ethically. It may also be quite beneficial to implement third-party audits to evaluate the bias and performance of AI tools. While AI is a potent augmentation tool for academic publication, it is not a cure-all. A balance between creativity, morality, and academic integrity is necessary for its appropriate integration. Humans and robots will probably co-author publishing in the future; this collaboration has to be governed by transparency, responsibility, and inclusion.

6. Source of Funding

None.

7. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Silver D, Schrittwieser J, Simonyan K, Antonoglou I, Huang A, Guez A. Mastering the game of Go without human knowledge. *Nature*. 2017;550(7676):354-9.
2. Schrittwieser J., Antonoglou I., Hubert T., Simonyan K, Sifre L, Schmitt S. et al. Mastering Atari, Go, Chess and Shogi by Planning with a Learned Model. ArXiv. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-03051-4.
3. Lin SY, Hsu YY, Ju SW, Yeh PC, Hsu WH, Kao CH. Assessing AI efficacy in medical knowledge tests: a study using Taiwan's internal medicine exam questions from 2020 to 2023.
4. Meyer A, Riese J, Streichert T. Comparison of the performance of GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 with that of medical students on the written German medical licensing examination: observational study. *JMIR Med Educ.* 2024;10:e50965.
5. Kelly SM. ChatGPT passes exams from law and business schools [Internet] CNN Business; 2023. Available from: https://edition.cnn.com/2023/01/26/tech/chatgpt-passes-exams/index.html
6. Flanagin A, Bibbins-Domingo K, Berkwits M, Christiansen SL. Nonhuman “authors” and implications for the integrity of scientific publication and medical knowledge. *JAMA*. 2023;329(8):637–9.
7. Neuroscience News.com. Neuroscience, artificial intelligence, and our fears: a journey of understanding and acceptance. June 23, 2023. Accessed 2nd June 2025. Available at: https://neurosciencenews.com/artificial-intelligence-fear-neuroscience-23519.
8. Sergi A, Messina A, Vicario CM. A quantum-classical model of brain dynamics. *Entropy (Basel).* 2023;25(4):592.
9. Bisiani J, Anugu A, Pentyala S. It is time to go quantum in medicine. *J Clin Med.* 2023;12(13):4506.
10. What is AI (artificial intelligence) McKinsey. 2023. Available at: https://www.mckinse.com/featured-insights/makinse-eplainess/what-is-ai#1. Accessed 3rd June 2025.
11. What is deep learning. Oracle. Available at: https://www.oracle.com/artificial-intelligence/machine-learning/what-is-deep-learning. Accessed 3rd June 2025.
12. ChatGPT: what is it? University of Central Arkansas. Available at: https://uca.edu/cetalchat-gpt. Accessed 2nd June 2025.
13. Donker T. The dangers of using large language models for peer review. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2023;23(7):781.

14. Tools such as ChatGPT threaten science; here are our ground rules for their use. *Nature.* 2023;613(7945):620–1.
15. Weidmann AE. Artificial intelligence in academic writing and clinical pharmacy education: consequences and opportunities. *Int J Clin Pharm*. 2024;46(3):751–4.
16. Joksimovic S, Ifenthaler D, Marrone R, de Laat M, Siemens G. Opportunities of artificial intelligence for supporting complex problem-solving: findings from a scoping review. *Comput Educ Artif Intell*. 2023;4:100138.
17. Livberber T, Ayvaz S. The impact of artificial intelligence in academia: views of Turkish academics on ChatGPT. *Heliyon*. 2023;9(9):e19688.
18. Anderson J, Rainie L. As AI Spreads, Experts predict the best and worst changes in digital life by 2035. *Pew Research Center.* 2023; Available from: https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2023/06/21/as-ai-spreads-experts-predict-the-best-and-worst-changes-in-digital-life-by-2035/
19. Crotty D, Shew M, New J, The Latest "Crisis" — Is the Research Literature Overrun with ChatGPT- and LLM-generated Articles? https://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2024/03/20/the-latest-crisis-is-the-research-literature-overrun-with-chatgpt-and-llm-generated-articles/)
20. Teixeira da Silva JA, Scelles N. An artificial intelligence tool misclassifies sport science journals as predatory. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2024;27(4):266-9.

Cite this article: Dubey S. A review on integration of artificial intelligence in academic publishing: Current state, prospects, and implications. *IP Indian J Libr Sci Inf Technol*. 2025;10(1):27-31.